



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/591,052

08/28/2006

Thomas Joseph Scal

12854-20446

6449

25231

7590

12/16/2008

MARSH, FISCHMANN & BREYFOGLE LLP

8055 East Tufts Avenue

Suite 450

Denver, CO 80237

EXAMINER

SLIFKA, COLIN W

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1793

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/16/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|                          |                        |                     |  |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|                          | 10/591,052             | SEAL, THOMAS JOSEPH |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|                          | COLIN W. SLIFKA        | 1793                |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) COLIN W. SLIFKA.

(3) Curt Mayes.

(2) Ross Breyfogle.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 10 December 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the proposed amendment of claim one, which provides a more-defined scope of the claimed invention. The Examiner expressed that proposed amendments may require supplemental search.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

|   |
|---|
| /Melvin Curtis Mayes/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793 |
|---|